

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.RAMKUMAR

THURSDAY, THE 5TH JULY 2007 / 14TH ASHADHA 1929

Crl.Rev.Pet.No. 1130 of 2007(A)

**CRA.613/2004 of ADDL. SESSIONS COURT (ADHOC), THALASSERY
CC.350/2003 of JUDL.MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS COURT-II, KANNUR**

REVN. PETITIONER: APPELLANT/ACCUSED

**M.RAGHAVAN, S/O CHATHU, AGED 59 YEARS,
BUSINESS, RESIDING AT SURASA HOUSE,
NELLOONNI, MATTANNUR, THALASSERY TALUK,
KANNUR DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI.R.SURENDRAN

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS/COMPLAINANT & STATE

**1. T.K.THOMAS, S/O LATE T.C.KURIAN,
AGED 71 YEARS, RESIDING AT "DENUR DEL",
P.O.BURNASSERY, KANNUR-13, KANNUR TALUK,
KANNUR DISTRICT.**

**2. STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY THE
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA,
ERNAKULAM.**

*** ADDL.3. GRETTEL THOMAS,
W/O.LATE T.K.THOMAS, AGED 60 YEARS,
'WESTEND', SREELAKSHMI BUILDINGS,
SARADAMADOM LANE, RAVIPURAM,
KOCHI-16.
(IMPLEADED AS ADDL. 3RD RESPONDENT AS PER ORDER DT.5.7.2007
IN CRL.M.A.NO.7193/07)**

**BY ADV. SRI.JOHN JOSEPH(ROY)
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.K.S.SIVAKUMAR**

**THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 05/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:**

V. RAMKUMAR, J.

.....
Crl.R.P. No. 1130 OF 2007 A
.....

Dated this the 5th day of July, 2007

O R D E R

The revision petitioner, who was the accused in C.C. No.350/2003 on the file of the JFCM-I, Kannur for an offence punishable under section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, challenges the conviction entered and the sentence passed against him concurrently by the trial court for the said offence.

2. Pending this revision, the parties have settled the matter and have filed Crl.M.A.No.7433/2007 under section 147 of the Act for recording the composition entered into by them. The said petition has been signed by both the revision petitioner as well as the complainant and their respective counsels. The composition is recorded and it will have the effect of an acquittal within the meaning of section 320(8) Cr.P.C. Money, if any, paid by the revision petitioner pursuant to orders, if any, passed by the lower appellate court shall be refunded to the revision petitioner.

This revision petition is disposed of as above.

(V. RAMKUMAR, JUDGE)

aks